NOTES AND DISCUSSIONS | AUGUST 01 2023

Perfect and imperfect pitchfork bifurcations in a V-shaped spring-mass system: Comment on "Hysteresis in a simple Vshaped spring-mass system" [Am. J. Phys. 89, 663–665 (2021)]

J. J. Bissell; A. M. Nagaitis

Check for updates

Am. J. Phys. 91, 659–660 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0079561





Special Topic: Teaching about the environment, sustainability, and climate change

Read Now

PHYSICS EDUCATION[®]

Perfect and imperfect pitchfork bifurcations in a V-shaped spring-mass system: Comment on "Hysteresis in a simple V-shaped spring-mass system" [Am. J. Phys. 89, 663–665 (2021)]

J. J. Bissell^{a)} and A. M. Nagaitis

School of Physics, Engineering & Technology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

(Received 22 November 2021; accepted 8 June 2023)

https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0079561

In a recent article,¹ Ong described how saddle-node bifurcations arise in a simple system comprised of two identical springs connected in a symmetrical V-shaped configuration to a mass m, such as that depicted in Fig. 1. Readers may be interested to note, however, that the same spring-mass system can also be used to study both perfect and imperfect pitchfork bifurcations.^{2,3}

To see how this works, recall that the equilibrium positions y in Ong's system are given by solutions to¹

$$f - 2ky \left[1 - \frac{l_0}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \right] = 0, \tag{1}$$

where y is the vertical equilibrium position of the mass, f is a forcing parameter (which includes gravity), 2x is the horizontal separation between the points securing the springs, k is the spring constant, and l_0 is each spring's natural length (see Fig. 1). Ong studied *saddle-node bifurcations* by exploring how the number of possible equilbrium configurations changes if the forcing f is varied, with x, k, and l_0 held fixed. Here, however, we study *pitchfork bifurcations* by exploring how the number of possible equilibrium configurations changes if the separation x is varied instead, with f, k, and l_0 held fixed. Here, how we shall see, pitchfork bifurcations arise in two different ways depending on the value of the forcing f.

If f = 0, then Eq. (1) may be solved to give either

$$y = 0$$
 or $y = \pm \sqrt{l_0^2 - x^2}$, (2)

where the latter solutions are possible only if

$$x \le x_c$$
, where $x_c = l_0$. (3)

This change in the number of possible equilibria when $x = x_c$ is called a *perfect pitchfork bifurcation*, as shown schematically



Fig. 1. Symmetric V-shaped spring-mass system (Ref. 1); it is assumed that the mass m is constrained to move in the y-direction only (e.g., by sliding on a smooth vertical wire). The y-axis is positive in the "downward" direction.

in Fig. 2. Notice that if $x > l_0$, then the springs are under tension, such that only one equilibrium is possible, with the mass at the centre y=0. If $x < l_0$, however, then three equilibria are permitted: two stable, symmetric equilibria $y = \pm [l_0^2 - x^2]^{1/2}$, with the springs relaxed at the natural length $l_0 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$; and one unstable equilibrium y=0, with the springs pushing against each other. Observe from Fig. 2 that transitions between the stable equilibria are continuous at $x = x_c$.

If f > 0, then it is not easy to solve Eq. (1) for *y*, and the situation becomes more complicated. One can, however, demonstrate that the number of *possible* equilibria changes from three to one (or vice versa) whenever *x* passes through the critical value³

$$x = x_c$$
, where $x_c(f) = l_0 \left[1 - (f/2kl_0)^{2/3} \right]^{3/2}$. (4)

In this case, the change in the number of possible equilibrium configurations when $x = x_c$ is called an *imperfect pitch-fork bifurcation* (see Fig. 3).

It may be shown for the imperfect pitchfork bifurcation that increasing *x* through x_c (e.g., by pulling the points of attachment apart from one-another) can lead to the system shifting from a stable equilibrium configuration $y < -y_c < 0$ (with the mass above the springs) to the one with $0 < y \le y_s$ (mass below the springs), where³

$$y_c = \left[l_0^{2/3} x_c^{4/3} - x_c^2 \right]^{1/2}$$
(5)

and

$$y_s = y_c \Big[(l_0/x_c)^{2/3} + (1 + y_c^2 l_0^{2/3} / x_c^{8/3})^{1/2} \Big].$$
(6)



Fig. 2. Possible equilibrium positions y as a function of x when f=0 (solid lines depict stable equilibria, dashed lines unstable) (Ref. 3), with the y-axis positive in the "downward" direction (as in Fig. 1). The springs are relaxed at the natural length l_0 everywhere on the semi-circle defined by $x^2 + y^2 = l_0^2$. The perfect pitchfork bifurcation occurs at $x = x_c = l_0$.

© Author(s) 2023.

659





Fig. 3. Possible equilibrium positions *y* as a function of *x* for a fixed value of f > 0 (solid lines depict stable equilibria, dashed lines unstable) (Ref. 3), with the *y*-axis positive in the 'downward' direction. The imperfect pitchfork bifurcation occurs when $x = x_c(f)$; thus, if the system is initially in a stable equilibrium with y < 0, then increasing *x* through x_c will result in the equilibrium shifting discontinuously from $y = -y_c$ to $y = y_s$ (arrows). Crossing the semi-circle $x^2 + y^2 = l_0^2$ (dotted line) corresponds to the springs changing from a compressed state ($\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < l_0$) to an extended state ($\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} > l_0$).

Such transitions between equilibria take the springs from a compressed state (with the downward force supported by the springs' reaction) to an extended state (with the downward force supported by the springs' tension) and are, therefore, discontinuous at $x = x_c$ (see Fig. 3). Note that once the system has shifted from $-y_c$ to y_s , decreasing x through x_c (e.g., by pushing the points of attachment closer together) will simply lead to the mass sinking further on the y > 0

equilibrium; it will not make the mass "jump" back to the $y < -y_c < 0$ configuration. In this respect, the transition at x_c is "non-reversible."

Ultimately, it may be shown that the saddle-node bifurcations discussed by Ong,¹ and the pitchfork bifurcations described above, are both features of a *cusp catastrophe*,^{2,3} meaning that the system exhibits a wider range of threshold phenomena than it has been possible to summarise in our *Comment* here (see, e.g., our supplementary analysis published in another context).³ Given such rich behaviour, therefore, the simplicity of Ong's system makes it an ideal candidate for developing undergraduate practical work on non-linear effects more generally. Indeed, the presence of bistability suggests that if friction is considered, then driving the system may even lead to chaotic behaviour analogous to that exhibited by a Duffing oscillator.⁴ We look forward to investigate such possibilities in future publications.

^{a)}ORCID: 0000-0002-8364-8085.

¹C. Ong, "Hysteresis in a simple V-shaped spring-mass system," Am. J. Phys. **89**, 663–665 (2021).

- ²S. H. Strogatz, *Nonlinear Dynamics & Chaos* (Westview, Boulder, CO, 2000).
- ³J. J. Bissell, "Bifurcation, stability, and critical slowing down in a simple mass-spring system," Mech. Res. Commun. **125**, 103967 (2022).
- ⁴J. E. Berger and G. Nunes, "A mechanical Duffing oscillator for the undergraduate laboratory," Am. J. Phys. 65, 841–846 (1997).

AJP Index to Advertisers

AAPT Winter 2023 Meeting.	Cover 2
AAPT Membership	Page 569
AAPT ComPADRE	Page 571
APSIT	Page 572
AAPT Career Center.	TOC